ISSN 0972-5210



GENETICS OF RESISTANCE TO POWDERY MILDEW DISEASE IN LINSEED *LINUM USITATISSIMUM* L.

M.P. Chauhan, Tejasvi Singh* and Vinod Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, U.P.-224229, India

Abstract

On the basis of segregating ratio obtained in F_2 and back crosses of three crosses, a single dominant gene have been identified for resistance to powdery mildew in LCK- 9406, Palf-5 and NDL-2004-05.

Key words : Linum usitatissimum L., powdery mildew disease, susceptible cultivars, dominant gene.

Introduction

Globally linseed Linum usitatissimum is an important crop both for fiber and technical grade oil. Recent advances in medicinal result have found linseed as best herbal source Omega 3 and Omega 6 fatty acid which have immense nutritional and medicinal effect on human body systems. India ranks first in area in the world but is at fifth place in term of production after Canada, China, USA and Ethopia. In terms of productivity, India (408 kg/ha) is below to UK (1636 kg/ha.), USA (1484 kg/ha), Canada (1179 kg/ha) and China (1029 kg/ha) (Anonymus, 2016). The major impediments for the lower national productivity is the growing of linseed under marginal land, input starved situation coupled with biotic and abiotic factor. Powdery mildew (Odium lini Skorie) is a common disease of flax growing area of the world (Gill 1987, Turner1987). Yield losses from powdery mildew in experimental plot were proportional to the disease severity, 12-38% (Pandey and Mishra1992) as high as 18% in United Kingdom (Beale 1991). The information regarding inheritance of powdery mildew disease resistance in the crop will be useful for developing linseed resistance varieties against powdery mildew disease. Keeping this objective in view the present study was undertaken.

Materials and Methods

The experimental material for present investigation

comprised of 7 diverse line viz. LCK-9406, Polf-5, NDL-2004-5, NDL-2004-05, PKDL-52, Surbhi, Polf-19 and Shekhar in half diallele fashion design five genotypes Polf-5, NDL-2004-05 and LCK-9406 were resistant and PKDL- 52genotype was susceptible to powdery mildew disease. During rabi 2010-11 crosses were made between resistant and susceptible genotypes at Genetics and Plant Breeding farm, N.D. University of Agricuture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad. (U.P.). During 2010-11 F, seeds were grown for generation advanced. On few f, plants back crosses were made from both the parents to get BC-1, BC-2 cross seeds . During this year fress crosses similar to rabi 2010 were also made. During rabi 2012-13 F₁ s and F₂ S and back crosses were grown in compact family block design in 3 replication. In this experiment one row of parent ulternatively with F, 10-12 rows of BC-1, BC-2 and F, of every cross wee grown at crop research station Masodha of N.D. University of Agricuture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad. In second weak of nov. 2012-13. Row length was kept on 3 mt. and spaced 30 cm. apart. Recommended Agronomic practices were adopted to raise a good crop PKDL-52, was use to plant to single spreader row after every 7 rows of test materials and also 2 non experimental cum spreader rows surrounded the intire block. The powdery mildew attacks the crop every year feb. to mid april in mild to severe farm. In order to facilitate uniform incidence epiphytotic condition was created by inaculating with spray of uniform spore suspension culture of whole plant population in evening after sun shade. The inoculated

^{*}Author for correspondence : E-mail : tejasvisingh1991@gmail.com

spore were procured from crop research centre of CSAUA & T, Kanpur, Mauraniur Jhansi (U.P). First spray dusting was given 45 DAS, IInd 55 DAS and IIIrd spray 70 DAS. After each spray crop was irrigated immediately to maintain the humidity in field the disease observation was recorded when disease severity was stabilized following 0 to 5 scale:

Scale	Symptom
0	No disease
1.	1 to 10 % of leaf area infected (resistant)
2.	10.1 to 25 % of leaf area infected (moderately
	resistant)
3.	25.1 to 50 % of leaf area infected (moderately
	susceptible)
4.	50.1 to 75 % of leaf area infected (susceptible)
5.	More than 75 % of leaf area infected (highly
	susceptible)

*the goodness of the model was tested using chi square value

Reaction of parents to powdery mildew showed that the resistant parent viz. LCK-9406, Polf-5 and NDL-2004-05 expressed powdery mildew reaction for 0 to 10 percent (Resistant) while susceptible genotypes viz. PKDL-52 suffered from heavily with 75 to 100 percent infection. The experimental result of six genetic populations (P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , BC_1 , BC_2 and F_2) to disease reaction of resistant and susceptible crosses are presented in table-1. Deseage reaction in F_{15} of resistant x susceptible crosses (3) revealed that all the crosses showed no powdery mildew infection like their resistant parent. These findings confirmed the dominance of resistance over susceptibility as also reported by Sorya et. al. (1989) and Singh et. al. (1989). In BC, generations also all the parents were resistant where as BC, plant progenies segregated in 1 resistant:1 susceptible plants. In F, generation of all the crosses plant progenies segregated in 3 resistant:1 susseptible plants. This supporting the hypothesis of a single dominant gene

conferring resistant in the parents. The similar findings have been reported Rashid and Dug yuid and Young *et. al.* (2008). Hence the genotypes LCK-9406, Polf-5 and NDL-2004-05 may be used as donor for transferring resistance in susceptible cultivars through large back cross breeding methods.

References

- Anonymus (2006). Annual progress report of linseed, AICRP on Linseed, Directorate of Oil Seed Research Rajendra Nagar Hydrabad.
- Beale, R.E. (1991). Studies of resistance in linseed cultivars to Odium lini and Botrytis cineria. In production and protection of linseed.Edited by R.J.Fraud Williams. Aspect Applied Biology Series. Association of Applied Biologists, Horticulture Research International, Willisbourne, Waruick, U.K.Vol.28.bp 85-90.
- Gill, K.S. (1987). Linseed, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi, India.
- Goray, S.C., H.K. Khosla, Y.M. Upadhaya, P.K. Nigam and S.C. Mandholi (1989). Genes conditioning resistance. *Agricultuiral journal*, **76(1)**: 391-394.
- Pandey, R.N. and D.P. Mishra (1992). Assessment of yield loss due to powdery mildew of linseed, *Indian Bot. Rep.*, 11: 62-64.
- Rashid, K. and S. Duguid (2005). Inheritance of resistance to powdery mildew in flax. *Canadian Journal* of *Plant Pathology*, 27(3): 404-409.
- Singh, N.K., Y.S., K. Kumar and R.P. Gupta (1989). Inheritance of powdery mildew resistance in linseed.(*Linum* usititissimum L). *Indian Journal of genetics and Plant Breeding*, 49(3): 421-422.
- Turner, J. (1987). Linseed law: a handbook for growers and Advisors BASF united Kingdom Limited, U.K.Pb 356.
- Yong Xue; Zhao Yun, Guan Fengzi, Zhugang, Lui Li Yan; Wu Guang Wen, Wang Xun, Song Xian You, Liu Zhao Jun, Lu Ying, Li Tie and Kang Qing Hua (2008).Genetic analysis of resistance to powdery mildew in flax line 9801-1.*Acta Phytopathological Sinica*, **38(6)**: 656-658.